

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,656	12/19/2000	Remko Horne	PHN 17,829	7072	
	7590 06/03/2003				
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICAN CORP 580 WHITE PLAINS RD			EXAMINER		
TARRYTOW			GEMMELL, EI	GEMMELL, ELIZABETH M	
ø			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				YN .		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/741,656	HORNE, REMKO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Beth Gemmell	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a noperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however apply within the statutory minimu by will apply and will expire SIX ute. cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nmunication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u> 8 March 2000</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-fina	l.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
· _		4:				
	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application of the above electrical and in the application of the appli					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	or election requireme	ent.			
9) 🗌 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been receive	d.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•		nnlication)		
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment		suo priority under 35 C	7.0.0. 33 120 and/01 121.			
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Into	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-			
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/741,656

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of arguments filled 18 March 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Doorn et al (US Patent 5,942,848; hereinafter Van Doorn) in view of Hayama et al. (US Patent 5,936,339; hereinafter Hayama).

Re claim 1: Van Doorn discloses, in figure 1 and throughout the disclosure, a color display device comprising a substrate (3), having in the substrate a phosphor pattern of phosphor regions containing phosphors for emitting, in operation, red, blue and green light through a display window (25R, 25G, 25B), with at least a blue color filter (24B) layer extending between the blue phosphor and the substrate.

Van Doorn fails to teach the blue phosphors comprising phosphor particles provided with blue pigments.

Hayama discloses, in figure 2A and throughout the disclosure, a blue phosphor comprising phosphor particles (6B) provided with blue pigments (7B).

Application/Control Number: 09/741,656

Art Unit: 2882

Page 3

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the color display device of Van Doorn with that of Hayama because in adding the pigments to the phosphor, the color display device can produce a higher emission brightness and better contrast than a display device without the pigments. By improving the brightness and contrast, an improved image is produced (column 2, lines 12+).

Re claim 2: Van Doorn et al. discloses, in column 4, lines 65+, the thickness of the blue color-filter layer to be in the range of 1.5-2.5 micrometers. This range includes the thickness less than 2 micrometers.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,656

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Gemmell whose telephone number is (703) 305-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

emg May 28, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CELLED 2200

Page 4